Reply to Office Action of June 11, 2009

REMARKS

The Examiner mailed an Office Action dated June 11, 2009 to the Applicant. In the

Office Action, Claims 17-111 were subject to a restriction and/or an election requirement by the

Examiner. The Applicant hereby elects Group I, Claims 17-33, 37-40, 50-58, 67-72, 88, 89, and

92, drawn to a transfer with traverse for reasons stated below. Non-elected claims 34-36, 59-61,

41-49, 62-66, 73-87, 90, 91, 93-111 are hereby withdrawn without prejudice. Therefore, the

Applicant submits that based upon the amendments and remarks, Claims 17-33, 37-40, 50-58, 67-

72, 88, 89, and 92 are in condition for allowance.

FOUR MONTH EXTENSION OF TIME

Applicant requests a four month extension of time for filing a response to the Office

Action. The required fee for the extension of time is submitted herewith. If an additional month

of extension is required, the Director is authorized to charge any additional fees incurred as a

result of the filing hereof or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account Number 02-0900.

ELECTION/RESTRICTION

In the present Office Action, the Examiner has required a restriction to one of the

following Groups:

I. Claims 17-33, 37-40, 50-58, 67-72, 88, 89, and 92, drawn to a transfer

II. Claims 34-36, 59-61, drawn to a method of making

III. Claims 41-49, 62-66, 73-87, 90, 91, and 93-111, drawn to a method of transferring

19

In response, the Applicant hereby elects Group I, 17-33, 37-40, 50-58, 67-72, 88, 89, and

92, drawn to a transfer, with transverse. The Applicant believes that the Examiner has not made a

prima facie showing of a serious burden placed upon the Examiner to include Groups I-III in the

present application. Specifically, a search of Group I, claims 17-33, 37-40, 50-58, 67-72, 88, 89,

and 92, will almost certainly include a search of independent Claims 34 and 59 (Group II). A

search of Group II, Claims 34-36, 59-61, will almost certainly include a search of independent

Claims 41, 62, 73, 91, and 93. Moreover, Groups I-III do not have a separate status in the art or

require a different field of search. Thus, there is no serious burden placed upon the Examiner in

searching Groups I-III together and therefore the Applicant submits there should be no

requirement for restriction and/or an election.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, based upon the Remarks above, Applicant hereby elects Group I, Claims 17-

33, 37-40, 50-58, 67-72, 88, 89, and 92, with traverse for reasons stated above. Corresponding

action is respectfully solicited.

The Director is authorized to charge any additional fees incurred as a result of the filing

hereof or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account Number 02-0900.

Respectfully submitted,

/daniel j. holmander/

Daniel L Holmander

Reg. No. 59,518

BARLOW, JOSEPHS & HOLMES, Ltd.

101 Dyer Street

5th Floor

Providence, RI 02903

20

Serial No. 09/980,466 Response Dated November 11, 2009 Reply to Office Action of June 11, 2009

401-273-4446 (tel) 401-273-4447 (fax) djh@barjos.com